REMARKS

Petition to Claim Benefit of Earlier Filing:

Applicants previously submitted a Petition to claim the benefit of an earlier filing, however,

an incorrect prior patent application was listed. Applicants made reference to a prior

application that is a sister application but is not a continuation or continuation-in-part from

Applicants' original nucleic acid delivery application as was intended. The Petition requests

correction of this mistake and this Amendment substitutes a new first paragraph to

incorporate the correct patent applications.

Rejection under 35 USC 102:

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 13-15 have been rejected under §102(b) as being anticipated by Kumasaka

et al.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 13-16 have been rejected under §102(b) as being anticipated by Graham et

al.

Claims 1, 3, 4-5, 7, 9, and 13-15 have been rejected under 102(e) as being anticipated by Kay

et al.

Applicants have filed a Petition to claim the benefit of an earlier filing date. If the Petition is

granted, the cited prior art is no longer prior and should be removed as a reference.

The Examiner's objections and rejections are now believed to be overcome by this response

to the Office Action. In view of Applicants' amendment and arguments, it is submitted that

claims 1, 3-9 and 13-16 should be allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

/MARK K JOHNSON/

Mark K. Johnson Reg. No. 35,909

Mirus Bio

505 South Rosa Road

Madison, WI 53719

608-238-4400

Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date: 12/8/2006.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent

by web-based transmission to: Commissioner for

/MARK K JOHNSON/

Mark K Johnson

5